



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,834	04/27/2007	Abdallah Lyoussi	MM6026PCT	3718
79681	7590	09/09/2009		
David A. Einhorn, Esq. Baker & Hostetler LLP 45 Rockefeller Plaza New York, NY 10111				
EXAMINER				
GAWORECKI, MARK R				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2884				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/09/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p align="center"><b>Interview Summary</b></p>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/594,834	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LYOUSSI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MARK R. GAWORECKI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2884	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) MARK R. GAWORECKI. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.
- (2) Eugene Lieberstein (Applicant's representative). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 15-18 and 21-23.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative called to clarify and discuss options for response after the mailing of an Advisory Action on 21 July 2009. Representative offered to prepare possible amendments for the Examiner to review before a formal response is filed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/David P. Porta/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2884